

**Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary**

Application No.

09/817,970

Applicant(s)

GIANNOPOULOS, DEMETRI

Examiner

James A Demakis

Art Unit

2836

**All Participants:**(1) James A Demakis.(2) John Merecki, #35812.**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Date of Interview:** 9 December 2003**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

7,9,11,15,16

Prior art documents discussed:

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

*See Continuation Sheet***Part III.**

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Claim 7 depends from 5 and does not further limit. Therefore, approval was granted for an Examiner's amendment to cancel claim 7.

Claim 9 originally depended from 7, which is now cancelled; approval given to change dependency to claim 1.

Claim 11 is cancelled for indefiniteness issues and claims 15 and 16 are, also, cancelled as they depend from 11; approval given for these cancellations. .